FRAN MC65 0637

ע אור ותמש ע ים ים ש	
THUR FROM THAS	
	Technical Report No. 7
	Contract ONR 609(44)
	THE ORDER-DISORDER TRANSFORMATION IN Cu ₃ Au AT HIGH PRESSURE
	M. C. Franzblau and R. B. Gordon
	December 1965
	Kline Geology Laboratory
	Box 2161, Yale Station
	New Haven, Connecticut
	DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING
	AND APPLIED SCIENCE
	YALE UNIVERSITY

Abstract

The order-disorder transformation in the alloy Cu_3^Au has been studied at pressures ranging up to 21 kbars by means of electrical resistance measurements made while the sample is at high temperature and under pressure. The rate of change of the critical temperature with pressure is 2.1°K/kbar from zero to 21 kbar. The latent heat of transformation at the critical temperature is 170 cal/mole which is in accord with previous calorimetric measurements. The kinetics of the order transformation below T_c are adequately described by the homogeneous reaction rate equation and an activation volume of 6.8 cm³/mole of atoms. The magnitude of this activation volume indicates that the formation of vacancies on the gold sublattice is the rate limiting step in the homogeneous ordering process.

INTRODUCTION

Application of pressure often stabilizes new, equilibrium phases in a substance. An equally important, and as yet hardly studied effect of pressure, is to change phase transformation kinetics. This paper reports the results of an investigation of the effect of high pressure on the kinetics of the order-disorder transformation in the alloy Cu₃Au. This particular transformation in this particular alloy was chosen because, among all solid state phase transformations, it is one of the most fully studied and best understood at room pressure.¹ Because of this, identification of the influence of pressure is made easier and more certain than would otherwise be possible.

The rate of the order-disorder transformation in Cu_3Au is most effectively studied by means of isothermal experiments: The alloy is equilibrated at temperature T_1 and then suddenly brought to temperature T_2 , which may be higher or lower than T_1 , and the progress of the transformation observed over a period of time. If $T_1 > T_c > T_2$, where T_c is the critical temperature for ordering, the transformation involves a nucleation step and is, consequently, heterogeneous. However, if T_1 , $T_2 < T_c$ and if the alloy has been properly prepared with a large antiphase domain size, the transformation is homogeneous and is fully described by a single rate equation. It is with this aspect of the transformation that we deal in the present work. The theory of the homogeneous change

- 2 -

in order within a domain is developed in papers by Rothstein,² Dienes,³ and Nowick and Weisberg.⁴ Formulation of the theory in terms of experimentally measurable quantities, as well as comparison with experimental results, is given in a paper by Feder, Mooney, and Nowick.⁵ They show that changes in the electrical resistivity of the alloy measured at constant temperature are linearly related to changes in the degree of long range order and that the time dependence of the resistivity change at temperature T_2 is given by the equation

$$\frac{R - R_{o}}{R_{e} - R_{o}} = \{ \operatorname{coth}_{tanh} \} (\alpha t + \beta)$$
(1)

where the coth function applies if $T_2 < T_1$, the tanh function holds when $T_2 > T_1$, R is the resistance measured at any time t, R_e is the equilibrium resistance at T_2 , and R_o is the resistance of the perfectly ordered alloy at $T = T_2$, and α and β are constants independent of t. It is also shown that the dependence of the rate constant α on temperature is

$$\alpha = \alpha \exp \left[(U + W/2)/kT \right]$$
(2)

where, as indicated in Fig. 1, U is the energy barrier to be overcome in taking a pair of unlike atoms from correct to incorrect lattice sites (the elementary disordering step) and W is the

- 3 -

corresponding change in the internal energy of the alloy.

Disordering of Cu₃Au results in a volume increase. Pressure therefore stabilizes the ordered phase and increases the ordering energy. It will also increase the energy barrier to be overcome in taking a pair of atoms from correct to incorrect lattice sites. To allow for this in Eq. 2 both U and W should be replaced by the free energies G_U and G_W . Since $\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial P}\right)_T = V$ and $\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial T}\right)_P = -S$, a consistent first order approximation to the effect of pressure will be to add terms PV^{*} and PV_t to Eq. 2:

$$\alpha = \alpha_{o} \exp[(U + PV^{*} + 1/2W + 1/2PV_{t})/kT]$$
(3)

where V_t is the volume increase of transformation for a disordering interchange of a pair of atoms and V^* is the activation volume associated with the activated process represented in Fig. 1. To a higher order of approximation, the pressure and temperature dependence of V and S defined by the above equations would have to be considered. However, most high pressure experiments on atomic mobility are sufficiently accurate to require use of only the first order approximation.

If the activation-volume model of the effect of pressure on the order-disorder transformation is adequate, then Eqs. 3 and 1 should fully describe the ordering rate observed at constant temperature and pressure. The first aim of the experiments is to

- 4 -

test this, the second, to determine the value of V^* . Knowledge of V^* should help elucidate the nature of the rate controlling step in ordering.

EXPERIMENTS

The high pressure technique for the proposed experiment must satisfy a number of requirements. Studies of the effect of pressure on the atomic mobility in fcc metals indicate that pressures of the order of 20 kbar will be required to produce measurable effects on the order-disorder transformation. The pressure must be very nearly a true hydrostatic pressure since the transformation is known to be sensitive to plastic strain. Since electrical resistivity changes are to be used to follow changes in the state of order, four electrical leads as well as four thermocouple leads must be introduced into the sample space. Finally, temperatures up to about 450°C must be attained while the sample is under pressure and it must be possible to both hold the temperature constant for long periods of time and to change it rapidly from one value to another.

<u>Apparatus.</u> It was found that these requirements could be met economically with Bridgman anvils in conjunction with a multilayered gasket. The anvil and gasket design used is shown in Fig. 2. For the temperature and pressure range of these experiments it is not necessary to use tungsten carbide anvil inserts; "Hypercut" high speed steel has the requisite hardness and hot strength.

- 5 -

Proper alignment of the anvils is assumed by use of a close fitting steel guide. The sample is contained between two halves of a split gasket, each half consisting of mica, AgCl and teflon discs contained in a lavite washer. The AgCl is used to transmit nearly hydrostatic pressure to the sample while the mica and teflon discs protect the anvil faces and the sample, respectively, from the corrosive behavior of AgCl at high temperature. Electrical leads are carried between the two lavite rings into the sample space. The thickness of each ring is 0.0125 in. while that of the stack of inner discs is 0.019 in. The extra thickness of the lavite rings is required to avoid overloading the gasket as the sample and electrical leads slightly increase the thickness of the cavity components. When the anvils are forced together, the rings crumble and the high friction between lavite and steel retains the sample by preventing extrusion of the sample cavity materials.

The nature of the pressure distribution in the Bridgman anvil pressure generator has been treated theoretically by Jackson and Waxman⁶ and investigated empirically by Montgomery <u>et al</u>⁷ and Meyers <u>et al</u>.⁸ The dimensions of the components comprising the gasket and the orientation and shape of the sample contained in the gasket considerably influence the pressure distribution and, without calibration, it cannot be assumed that the pressure on the sample is the load applied to the anvils divided by their face area. Montgomery et al report that at room temperature a radial pressure

- 6 -

gradient exists in the sample cavity. Consequently, a fine wire sample bent into the arc of a circle is utilized so that the sample will lie on an isobar. The experiments described below were done to establish the pressure calibration and to demonstrate that a sufficiently hydrostatic pressure is attained.

For the ordering experiments a sample of Cu₂Au wire of 0.010 in. diameter is bent into a circular arc 0.125 in. in diameter and then flattened by rolling to a thickness of 0.007 in. For pressure calibrations samples of bismuth wire (D = 0.003 in.) and lead wire (D = 0.007 in.) were used. The current and potential leads to the sample are pure iron wires 0.004 in. in diameter. These are brought out of the sample chamber between the two lavite gaskets. Electrical leads of lower strength material are broken off when pressure is applied. In addition to the electrical leads, two chrome-alumel thermocouples are placed in the sample cavity. One, placed in contact with the specimen, serves to measure its temperature; the other activates a temperature controller. Before use the thermocouples are calibrated against a certified, standard couple. After being pressurized several times the couples retain their calibration; this is taken as evidence that they are not significantly cold worked during pressurizing.

To attain high temperature the entire anvil assembly is heated by a surrounding electric furnace and by heaters built into the anvil support blocks.

- 7 -

Pressure Calibration. A calibration at room temperature was made by placing a bismuth wire in the space ordinarily occupied by the Cu_oAu sample. The I-II transition was found to occur at an indicated pressure (load on the anvils divided by bearing area) of 24.6 ± 1 kbar. This is to be compared with the accepted transition pressure of 25.5 kbar.⁹ A calibration at high temperature was made by observing the pressure dependence of the melting point of lead. Lead wire was substituted for the sample and its resistance observed at constant pressure as the temperature was raised at a rate of 5°C per minute. The onset of melting was indicated by an erratic behavior of the resistance apparently associated with flow of liquid lead along the current and potential leads. That melting had actually occurred at this point was verified by inspection of the sample heated to successively higher temperatures; the flow of the liquid was clearly seen under a low power microscope. The melting temperature at several indicated pressures is shown in Fig. 3. For comparison, the melting curves of lead determined by McDaniel, Babb, and Scott¹⁰ (extrapolated) and by Kennedy and Newton¹¹ are shown.

From the results of these experiments it was concluded that, to within the reproducibility attained with the anvil apparatus, it suffices to take the actual pressure as equal to the indicated pressure.

- 8 -

That the pressure generated with the composite gasket assembly shown in Fig. 2 is sufficiently hydrostatic for ordering experiments is demonstrated by comparing the resistance changes in a cold worked sample of Cu_3Au held at constant pressure and slowly heated with the changes in an annealed sample treated the same way. The annealed sample shows a steady increase of resistance with temperature due to disordering and to the thermal component of the resistance; the previously cold worked sample shows a resistance decrease as the cold work is annealed out under pressure and then shows the same resistance-temperature as the initially annealed sample.

THE CRITICAL TEMPERATURE FOR ORDERING

The order-disorder transformation in Cu_3Au is of the first order, i.e., is characterized by a discontinuity in the volume and entropy at the critical temperature, T_c , above which long range order no longer exists. As an ordered alloy is heated, the long range order decreases slowly and continuously until T_c is reached at which temperature the remaining long range order vanishes. The critical temperature can be conveniently observed by means of resistivity measurements: If the sample is heated slowly and is at a uniform temperature throughout, there will be a discontinuity in the resistance <u>vs.</u> temperature curve at T_c . Determination of T_c is made most effectively by a heating curve; when the disordered alloy is cooled through T_c considerable supercooling is often observed. This is because the transformation to order is accomplished by nucleation of ordered domains.

- 9 -

To find the change in T in Cu₃Au under pressure, slow heating experiments were performed on ordered wire samples. In most of the experiments the sample was first subjected to pressure and then heated, but in a few cases the sample was held at 300 bars and then heated to 200°C before the desired pressure was applied. In the latter case the sample would be subjected to smaller stress gradients during application of pressure. Equally satisfactory results were obtained by both methods, indicating again that the deviator stresses within the sample chamber are too small to influence the ordering behavior. After pressure is attained, the samples are heated slowly from 200°C to about 450°C; near T the heating rate is 0.3°C/min or less. Results of a typical experiment are shown in Fig. 4. From curves of this kind T_{c} is determined to within $\pm 1^{\circ}C$. While holding the sample near T, the following procedure can be used to determine whether or not T has been exceeded: The sample is held at constant temperature and its resistance observed as a function of time. If the resistance decreases with time the sample has not disordered, since the resistance decrease is indicative of continued antiphase domain growth, a relatively slow process at all temperatures below T. With these techniques it is found that T = $389 \pm 1^{\circ}C$ at P = 300 bars, a pressure low enough so that the shift in T_c is less than 1°C. A determination of T on the same sample material immersed in a fluid at 1 bar gave 388 ± 2°C, indicating that good

- 10 --

accuracy is attained in the temperature measurements in the anvils. The experimental results of Hanneman and Strong¹² show no significant change in the calibration of chromel-alumel thermocouples in the pressure range of our experiments.

The observed increase in T_c at high pressure is shown by the data points in Fig. 5. The rate of change of critical temperature with pressure is given by Clapeyron's equation,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dT}}{\mathrm{dP}} = \frac{\mathrm{T_{c}} \mathrm{V_{t}}}{\mathrm{H_{t}}}$$

where T_c is the critical temperature, V_t the volume change, and H_t the enthalpy change at the pressure where dT/dP is measured. The transformation volume at one atmosphere pressure is known from the high temperature x-ray lattice parameter measurements of Keating and Warren;¹³ it is 2.25 x 10^{-2} cm³/mole of atoms. The change in V_t with pressure is estimated using Siegel's¹⁴ measurements of the elastic constants of the ordered and disordered phases at high temperature to compute the compressibilities of the two phases. A correction for the pressure dependence of the elastic constants can be estimated from Lazarus'¹⁵ data on the pressure dependence of the elastic constants of Cu and Au. At 21 kbar V_t is reduced to 1.94×10^{-2} cm³/mole but, because of the increase in T_c , dT/dP will be almost constant (the change of H_t at 21 kbar due to PV_t is negligibly small). Hence, to get the best value of the initial

- 11 -

slope in Fig. 5, the best straight line is drawn through all the data points yielding $dT/dP = 2.1 \pm 0.1^{\circ}K/kbar$. From this it is found that H_{\pm} (P=0) = 170 ± 10 cal/mole.

THE KINETICS OF ORDERING

The kinetics of the ordering reaction below T_c were studied in samples annealed for a prolonged period at 368°C so as to grow a large antiphase domain size. These samples were quenched and then held at constant temperatures ranging between 247 and 290°C under various pressures. During this second anneal the degree of long range order within the domains increases with no significant change in the domain size itself. Thus, changes in the sample resistance, R, are directly related to changes in the long range order parameter, S, and are expected to follow the equation

$$\frac{R - R}{R_e - R_o} = \coth(\alpha t + \beta)$$

To find the pressure and temperature dependence of the rate constant α from this equation, R_o and R_e must be determined as a function of T and P. R_e is the final resistance of the sample held for a long time at the temperature and pressure of interest. The determination of R_o as a function of temperature and pressure is more difficult. The procedure used is given in Appendix 1. Essentially, it consists of relating the order dependent resistance of a sample to the lattice parameter and then

- 12 -

extrapolating to the value of the lattice parameter characteristic of the fully ordered material to find $R_{_{O}}$ at 25°C and 1 atmosphere. The temperature and pressure coefficient of resistance of a highly ordered sample are then measured and used to find $R_{_{O}}$ (T, P).

The procedure for measurement of the ordering rate is to first set up a quenched sample in the anvils and measure its resistance at room temperature and pressure. Then a pressure of a few hundred bars is applied and T raised to 100° C. At this temperature the sample is brought to the pressure to be used in the experiment; it is then rapidly heated and, when the temperature is stabilized, the resistance is measured as a function of time until the equilibrium resistance is attained. At the temperatures and pressures used, this may require a time between a few hours and a few days. During this anneal T is held to $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C and P to within ± 40 bars.

The resistance changes during a typical ordering experiment are shown in Fig. 6. To the data points shown, Eq. 1 must be fitted. In making this fit allowance must be made for possible errors in the determination of R_o and R_e . This was done by means of a computer program which compares Eq. 1 with the data for the following range of the variables R_o , R_e , and α :

$$\Delta R_{o} = 1.1 R_{o} \text{ to } 0.7 R_{o}$$
$$\Delta R_{e} = 1.0 R_{e} \text{ to } 0.9 R_{e}$$
$$\Delta \alpha = 3.0 \alpha \text{ to } 0.3 \alpha$$

- 13 -

The starting points for the computation are the measured R_{o} and R_{e} and a value of α estimated from room pressure data. As successively better fits are obtained, the interval of variation of the three parameters is made smaller until, at the final fit, the intervals are

$$δ R_e = 4 \times 10^{-5} R_e$$

 $δ R_o = 1.7 \times 10^{-5} R_o$
 $δ α = 6 \times 10^{-4}$

At this interval size computational errors are negligible compared to experimental errors.

Two series of kinetic experiments were done. In one, a constant pressure of 900 bars was used and the ordering temperature was varied between 247 and 289°C; in the other, the temperature was 286°C and pressure ranged from 1 to 14 kbar. Rate constants from the first series are shown in Fig. 7 and are seen to fit Eq. 2. The activation energy for ordering obtained from this plot is 1.9 ± 0.2 ev. This may be compared with the value of 2.03 ev obtained by Feder, Mooney and Nowick,⁵ and the 1.95 ev value found by Goering and Nowick¹⁶ by an internal friction experiment.

The results of the second series of measurements, in which a series of pressures and one temperature were used, are shown in Fig. 8. It is seen from this figure that the data fit an equation of the form of Eq. 3. The slope of the line drawn through the points yields a total activation volume for ordering of $6.8 \pm 1 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mole}$ or $(11 \pm 2) \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^3/\text{atom.}$

- 14 -

DISCUSSION

The measured enthalpy change at the critical temperature is 170 cal/mole. The total energy, W_0 , required to disorder the alloy is related to the critical temperature by

$$R T_c = C W_o$$

where C is a constant whose numerical value depends on the assumptions made in each particular theory of ordering. However, W_o cannot be compared directly with H_t because of the partial disordering which occurs below T_c and the presence of short range order above T_c . An experimental range of H_t is indicated by Sykes and Jones¹⁷ who measured the energy of transformation of Cu_3Au as a function of temperature. From their calorimetric data they found that $124 < H_t < 182$ cal/mole, which encompasses the value found in these experiments. An attempt to measure dT_c/dP was made some years ago by Wilson¹⁸ who found a value of $1.2^{\circ}K/kbar$; this leads to an unreasonably large H_t .

The results in Fig. 8 show that Eq. 3 gives an adequate representation of the pressure dependence of the ordering rate below T_c . Since V_t is about 0.02 cm³/mole, the term 1/2 PV_t can be neglected in comparison with PV^{*} in Eq. 3. Within the accuracy attained in these experiments, no pressure variation in V^{*} could be detected; more accurate determination of the sample pressure would be required for this purpose.

- 15 -

The values of Q and V^* found in these experiments are compared with other related quantities in Table 1. Change in the state of order in Cu₃Au involves thermally activated movements of both the Au and the Cu atoms; the slowest moving species will determine the ordering rate. This is expected to be the Au atom because of its greater size. The data in Table 1 show that the activation volumes for the diffusion of Au in Au, in Cu₂Au, and in a Ag-Au alloy are all the same (within experimental error). Since Ag and Au have almost identical atomic radii, equal activation volumes would be expected in the Au-Ag alloy. In Cu-Au alloys, there is a decrease in specific volume as Cu is added to Au. In all of these close packed structures atom movements occur by the vacancy mechanism and $V^* = V_p + V_m^*$ where V_p is the volume of vacancy formation and V_m^* is the activation volume for the interchange of a vacancy and an atom. In the close packed metals V_m^* is only about 15% of V^* . As Cu is added to Au, V_m^* is expected to increase for the jump of Au atoms, but since V_m^* is such a small part of V^* this would not much affect the measured activation volume. No activation volume measurements are available for pure Cu but, on the basis of its molar volume V^{*} is estimated to be about 5 cm^3/mole . That V^{*} for ordering in Cu₃Au is not significantly less than for self diffusion diffusion in Au indicates that V in the alloy is principally determined by the formation of vacancies on the Au sublattice.

- 16 -

There is one other alloy for which activation volume data are available for an ordering process, <u>viz.</u> Ag-Zn (see Table 1) where the measurements were done by an anelastic relaxation method. As in Cu-Au there is an appreciable size difference but, in this case, there is a large decrease in V^* relative to the value in pure Ag. (Even if V^* for self diffusion in Ag proves to be too high, this would probably still be true.) It must be, then, that the anelastic, stress-induced ordering effect is responsive to the motion of the smaller, and presumably more mobile, atom in the alloy as contrasted with the homogeneous change in long range order in Cu_3Au whose rate is controlled by the mobility of the more slowly moving atom.

The observed V ${}^{\!\!*}$ for ordering in Cu_3Au can be used to calculate the activation entropy S ${}^{\!\!*}$, defined as

$$S^* = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial T}\right)_P$$

by means of the relation

$$\frac{S}{V} = \frac{a}{\beta}$$

deduced by Lawson.²⁴ In this equation a is the thermal expansion coefficient and β the compressibility. It is found that $S^* = 12 \text{ cal/mole}^{\circ}K$ which is very nearly the same as the value computed for pure Au.

APPENDIX 1

Determination of the Resistance at Perfect Order, R_.

The following procedure was used to determine the quantity $R_o(T,P)$, the resistance the sample would have were it perfectly ordered at the temperature and pressure of the kinetic run. This quantity must be found for each sample for use in the rate equation (Eq. 1). All of the samples were annealed at 368° C to grow a large, stable domain size. During this anneal the resistance of one sample was monitored.

The resistance of the monitored sample at perfect order and 25°C was determined as follows:

(1) A large domain sample was reheated to 368° C in the annealing furnace and equilibrated at a series of temperatures from 368° C to 298° C. The equilibrium resistance of the monitored sample was measured at each temperature. The order-dependent portion of the resistance at each temperature was extracted from the measured resistance by subtracting the temperature dependent resistance. The temperature-coefficient of resistance at constant order was measured by reheating the samples to 368° C, quenching to room temperature, and measuring the variation of resistance at constant order of resistance of the range from $22-38^{\circ}$ C. The temperature coefficient of $(dR/dT)_q$, was 3×10^{-5} ohm/°C.

The assumptions are made that the temperature coefficient of resistance at constant order in the range from 368 to 298°C is only slightly dependent on the degree of order, and that this coefficient is a constant for each state of order in the temperature range of measurement. Plots of equilibrium resistance <u>vs.</u> temperature and resistance at constant order <u>vs.</u> temperature appear in Fig. 9.

(2) The order-dependent portion of the resistance, represented by the difference in resistance between the two curves of Fig. 9. is plotted against the order-dependent lattice parameter data of Feder <u>et al</u>, which were taken at 25°C. This plot is shown in Fig. 10. The assumption that the order dependent portion of both the lattice parameter and the resistance reflect the degree of order in the same way is verified by the linear relation exhibited in this plot.

The resistance at perfect order and 25°C, $R_0(25°C)$, is determined by extrapolation of the plot to $a_0 = 3.7465$ Å. This is the value of the lattice parameter at perfect order and 25°C as determined by Feder <u>et al</u> by equilibration of their sample at temperatures in the range from 368 to 200°C. At 200°C, the ordering process occurs extremely slowly, and the equilibrium degree of order is nearly perfect.

(3) Since the specimens to be used in the kinetic experiments were subjected to the identical heat treatment as the monitored sample, the ratio (R_0/R_q) at 25°C should be the same for each specimen. This ratio was found to be

- 19 -

$$(R_o/R_q)_{25^{\circ}C} = 0.564 \pm .001$$

for the monitored sample. Thus a measurement of the initial resistance of a specimen installed in the anvil apparatus is sufficient to determine $R_{c}(25^{\circ}C)$ for that specimen.

(4) In order to determine the temperature and pressure coefficient of R_0 for each sample, so that $R_0(T_{anneal})$ can be calculated, the following measurements were performed:

First, the specific temperature coefficient of resistance of a quenched sample was measured. The sample was then highly ordered, and the coefficient remeasured. The ratio of these coefficients was found to be 0.76. The pressure coefficient of resistance at constant temperature of both a highly ordered and slightly ordered sample was measured to 20 kbar at 100°C, and found to be nearly linear continuous functions. The results were as follows:

 $\frac{1}{R_q}(dR_q/dP)_T = .03\%/kbar;$ slightly ordered

$$\frac{1}{R_o} \left(\frac{dR_o}{dP}\right)_T = .8\%/kbar;$$
 highly ordered

Since the resistance of both highly ordered and slightly ordered samples was found to be a continuous function of pressure and temperature, it was assumed that the specific temperature coefficient of resistance of each specimen in the quenched condition, $1/R_q(dR_q/dT)_{P,S}$, was related to the specific temperature coefficient

- 20 -

of resistance of the same sample in the highly ordered state, $1/R_{o}(dR_{o}/dT)_{P,S}$, by the constant of proportionality 0.76.

Once the temperature coefficient of resistance of R_0 was determined, the value of $R_0(P,T)_{anneal}$ could be calculated.

- 22 -

Table 1

Activation Energies and Volumes

		Q	*
Ordering Kinetics		2.0 ev	6.8 cm ³ /mole
Anelastic, Cu_3Au^{16}		1.95	
Diffusion, Au in Cu ¹⁹		1.95	
Diffusion, Au in Au^{20}		1.81	7.2
Diffusion, Au in Ag-Au a	alloy ²³		7.5
Diffusion, Ag in Ag ²²			9.2
Anelastic, Ag-Zn alloy ^{2]}	1		5.4
Atomic radii			
Au 1.44A Ag	g 1.44A		
Cu 1.28 Zn	n 1.37		

.

.

References

- L. Guttman, "Order-Disorder Phenomena in Metals," <u>Solid State</u> <u>Physics Vol. 3</u>, Seitz and Turnbull, eds, Academic Press, New York, 1956; p. 145.
- 2. J. Rothstein, Phys. Rev. <u>94</u>, 1429 (1954).
- 3. G. J. Dienes, Acta Met. 3, 549 (1955).
- 4. A. S. Nowick and L. R. Weisberg, Acta Met. <u>6</u>, 260 (1958).
- 5. R. Feder, M. Mooney and A. S. Nowick, Acta Met. 6, 266 (1958).
- J. W. Jackson and M. Waxman in <u>High Pressure Measurement</u>, Butterworths, Washington (1963), p. 39.
- P. Montgomery, H. Stromberg, G. H. Jura and G. Jura, <u>ibid</u>,
 p. 1.
- 8. M. B. Meyers, F. Dachille and R. Roy, ibid, p. 17.
- 9. G. Kennedy and P. LaMori, in F. P. Bundy, W. R. Hibbard, Jr. and H. M. Strong (eds.), <u>Progress in Very High Pressure</u> Research, J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1961).
- M. L. McDaniel, S. Babb and G. J. Scott, J. Chem. Phys. <u>37</u>, 822 (1962).
- 11. G. Kennedy and R. C. Newton in <u>Solids Under Pressure</u>, W. Paul and D. M. Warschauer (eds.), McGraw Hill Co., New York (1963), p. 163.
- R. E. Hanneman and H. M. Strong, ASME Publication 64-WA/PT-21, 1964.
- 13. D. T. Keating and B. E. Warren, J. Appl. Phys. 22, 286 (1951).

- 24 -
- 14. S. Siegel, Phys. Rev. 57, 537 (1940).
- 15. D. Lazarus, Phys. Rev. <u>76</u>, 545 (1949).
- 16. W. Goering and A. S. Nowick, Trans. A.I.M.E. 105 (1958).
- 17. C. Sykes and F. W. Jones, Proc. Roy. Soc. A157, 213 (1936).
- 18. T. C. Wilson, Phys. Rev. <u>56</u>, 598 (1939).
- A. B. Martin, R. D. Johnson and F. Asaro, J. Appl. Phys. <u>25</u>, 364 (1954).
- V20. R. H. Dickerson, R. C. Lowell, and C. T. Tomizuka, Phys. Rev. <u>137</u>, A613 (1965).
 - 21. G. W. Tichelaar and D. Lazarus, Phys. Rev. 113, 438 (1959).
- V22. C. T. Tomizuka, R. C. Lowell, and A. W. Lawson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>II</u> <u>5</u>, 181 (1960).
- -23. E. D. Albrecht and C. T. Tomizuka, J. Appl. Phys. <u>35</u>, 3560 (1964).
- 24. A. W. Lawson, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 3, 250 (1957).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1. Energy diagram for the homogeneous ordering step in Cu₃Au. U is the energy barrier to be overcome in taking a pair of atoms from right to wrong sites; W is the resultant change in internal energy of the alloy.
- Fig. 2. Bridgman anvil and split gasket assembly used for the high pressure measurements.
- Fig. 3. Observed melting temperature of lead as a function of indicated pressure in the Bridgman anvil device.
- Fig. 4. Resistance of Cu_3Au wire during heating and subsequent cooling through T_c at a pressure of 10.5 kbar.
- Fig. 5. Observed dependence of the critical temperature for ordering on the pressure.
- Fig. 6. Resistance changes during anneal at 286°C and 1 kbar of sample first equilibrated at 368°C. The curve is calculated to give the best fit to the data points as described in the text.
- Fig. 7. Variation of the rate constant α with temperature at 900 bars. The slope of the straight line corresponds to an activation energy of 1.9 ev.
- Fig. 8. Variation of the rate constant a with pressure at 286°C. The slope of the line corresponds to an activation volume of 6.8 cm³/mole of atoms.

- 25 -

- Fig. 9. The equilibrium resistance of a large domain sample as a function of temperature, at 1 bar. The straight line illustrates the temperature-dependent portion of the resistance. The difference between the curves is the order-dependent resistance.
- Fig. 10. The equilibrium order-dependent resistance <u>vs.</u> the equilibrium lattice parameter data of Feder, Mooney and Nowick.⁵ Temperature is the independent variable.





















(Ber



B. Gordon, Dept. of Eng. & Applied Science ale University, Kline Geology Lab., Box 2161 Yale Sta 7b. 2a. Unclassified 7b. THE ORDER-DISORDER TRANSFORMATION IN Cu_3AU AT HIGH PRESSURE		DOCUMENT CON	TROL DATA - R&D		
THE ORDER-DISORDER TRANSPORMATION IN Cu_AM AT HIGH PRESSURE Franzblau, M. C. and Gordon, R. B. December 1965 [7a. 7b. 24 [7b. 24 [7b. 24 [7b. 24 [7b. 7b. 24 [7b. 7b. 7b. 7b. 7b. 7b. 7b. 7b. 7b. 7b.	. B. Gordon, Dept. of ale University, Kline ew Haven, Connecticut	Eng. & Applied Sci Geology Lab.,Box 2 06520	ence 161 Yale Sta ^{2b.}	Unclassified	
Franzblau, M. C. and Gordon, R. B. December 1965 18. 0NR 609(44) 36 24 0NR 609(44) Technical Report No. 7 0. 9b. 9b. 0.0. 9b. 9b.	THE ORDER-DISORDER	TRANSFORMATION IN	Cu ₃ Au AT HIGH PRE	SSURE	
Franzblau, M. C. and Gordon, R. B. December 1965 7a. 0NR 609(44) 9a. Technical Report No. 7 0. RR-007-01-01 9b. 0. 1. 12. 0ffice of Naval Research 3. The order-disorder transformation in the alloy Cu_Au has been studied at ressures ranging up to 21 thars by means of electrical resistance measurements ade while the sample is at high temperature and under pressure. The rate of name of the ordical temperature with pressure is 2.1%/kbar from zero to 21 bar. The latent heat of transformation at the critical temperature is [0 cal/mole which is in accord with previous calorimetric measurements. The interics of the order transformation ad an activation volume of 6.8 cm/mole of toms. The magnitude of this activation volume indicates that the formation of temperature is the rate limiting step in the homogeneous dering process. (U) Kinetics Homogeneous Order-disorder transformation	8 	ما ان وليس كار المراجع العربي المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع			
December 1965 7a. 7b. 24 a. ONR 609(44) 9a. Technical Report No. 7 b. 9b. 9b. conserved 9b. 9b.	Franzblau, M. C. an	d Gordon, R. B.			
A. ONR 609(44) BR=007-01-01 BR=007-01-01 B. Technical Report No. 7 B. T	December 1965	78	36	7b. 24	
RR-007-01-01 9b. 12. Office of Naval Research 12. 0ffice of Naval Research 0ffice of Naval Research 0ffice of Naval Research	ONR 609(44)	98	9a. Technical Report No. 7		
1. 12. 3. The order-disorder transformation in the alloy Cu Au has been studied at ressures ranging up to 21 kbars by means of electrical resistance measurements ade while the sample is at high temperature and under pressure. The rate of nange of the critical temperature with pressure is 2.1°K/kbar from zero to 21 par. The latent heat of transformation at the critical temperature is 10 cal/mole which is in accord with previous calorimetric measurements. The inetics of the order transformation below T are adequately described by the mogeneous reaction rate equation and an activation volume of 6.8 cm/mole of toms. The magnitude of this activation volume indicates that the formation of acanties on the gold sublattice is the rate limiting step in the homogeneous rearring process. (U) Kinetics Homogeneous Order-disorder transformation	RR-007-01-01	90	95.		
12. Office of Naval Research 3. The order-disorder transformation in the alloy Cu ₃ Au has been studied at ressures ranging up to 21 kbars by means of electrical resistance measurements ade while the sample is at high temperature and under pressure. The rate of nange of the critical temperature with pressure is 2.1°K/kbar from zero to 21 bar. The latent heat of transformation at the critical temperature is 70 cal/mole which is in accord with previous calorimetric measurements. The inetics of the order transformation below T are adequately described by the mogeneous reaction rate equation and an activation volume of 6.8 cm/mole of coms. The magnitude of this activation volume indicates that the formation of meancies on the gold sublattice is the rate limiting step in the homogeneous rdering process. (U) Kinetics Homogeneous Order-disorder transformation) .		na fina 19 milion (a fina a fina a fina fina fina fina fina		
3. The order-disorder transformation in the alloy Cu Au has been studied at ressures ranging up to 21 kbars by means of electrical resistance measurements ade while the sample is at high temperature and under pressure. The rate of hange of the critical temperature with pressure is 2.1°K/kbar from zero to 21 bar. The latent heat of transformation at the critical temperature is 70 cal/mole which is in accord with previous calorimetric measurements. The inetics of the order transformation below T are adequately described by the mogeneous reaction rate equation and an activation volume of 6.8 cm/mole of toms. The magnitude of this activation volume indicates that the formation of acancies on the gold sublattice is the rate limiting step in the homogeneous rdering process. (U) Kinetics Homogeneous Order-disorder transformation	1.	12	Office of Nav	al Research	
Kinetics Homogeneous Order-disorder transformation	3. The order-disorder ressures ranging up to ade while the sample i hange of the critical bar. The latent heat 70 cal/mole which is i inetics of the order t omogeneous reaction ra toms. The magnitude of acancies on the gold s rdering process. (U)	transformation in 21 kbars by means a at high temperat temperature with p of transformation n accord with prev ransformation belo te equation and an of this activation sublattice is the r	the alloy Cu ₃ Au of electrical re ure and under pre ressure is 2.1°K/ at the critical t ious calorimetric w T are adequate activation volum volume indicates ate limiting step	has been studied at sistance measurements ssure. The rate of kbar from zero to 21 emperature is measurements. The ly described by the e of 6.8 cm/mole of that the formation of in the homogeneous	
	Kinetics	Homogeneous	Order-disorde	r transformation	

.